

A GENERALIZATION OF ALMOST SCHUR LEMMA ON CR MANIFOLDS

JUI-TANG CHEN^{1*}, NGUYEN THAC DUNG², AND CHIN-TUNG WU^{3*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study a general almost Schur Lemma on pseudo-Hermitian $(2n+1)$ -manifolds (M, J, θ) for $n \geq 2$. When the equality of almost Schur inequality holds, we derive the contact form θ is pseudo-Einstein and the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature is constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Riemannian manifolds, the classical Schur Lemma states that the scalar curvature of an Einstein manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ must be constant. So it is interesting to see the relation between scalar curvature and Einstein condition. Recently, De Lellis and C. Topping [LT] proved an almost Schur Lemma assuming the nonnegative of Ricci curvature. Their result can be seen as a quantitative version or a stability property of the Schur Lemma. Later, in [B][C1][C2] and [GW], the authors considered general closed Riemannian manifolds, and obtained a generalization of the De Lellis-Topping's theorem.

However, in the pseudo-Hermitian manifold, the pseudo-Einstein condition does not imply the constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. This is because of the appearance of torsion terms in the contracted Bianchi identity (2.3). Hence there is a natural question to ask under which condition a pseudo-Einstein manifold has constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. More general, how does the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature change when the manifold is close to the pseudo-Einstein manifold. In [CSW], the authors addressed to this question

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32V05, 32V20; Secondary 53C56.

Key words and phrases. Pseudo-Einstein, Pseudohermitian Manifold, Almost Schur Lemma, Eigenvalue of sub-Laplacian.

*Research supported in part by NSC.

and shown that if $\text{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$, where $A_{\alpha\beta}$ is the pseudo-Hermitian torsion, then the answer is affirmative. In fact, the answer came from the following CR almost Schur theorem in [CSW] on a closed pseudo-Hermitian $(2n+1)$ -manifold M for $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.1. ([CSW]) *For $n \geq 2$, if (M, J, θ) is a closed pseudo-Hermitian $(2n+1)$ -manifold with $\text{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ and*

$$(1.1) \quad (\text{Ric} - \frac{n+1}{2}\text{Tor})(Z, Z) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } Z \in T_{1,0}(M),$$

then

$$(1.2) \quad \int_M (R - \bar{R})^2 \leq \frac{2n(n+1)}{(n-1)(n+2)} \int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |\text{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{R}{n} h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2,$$

where $\bar{R}$ is the average value of the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature R over M . Moreover, equality holds then the contact form $e^{\frac{1}{n+1}\varphi}\theta$ will be pseudo-Einstein.

In this paper, motivated by [C1], we are interested in a more general curvature condition with respect to (1.1). We prove a similar inequality to (1.2) with the inequality constant depending on the lower bound of Webster Ricci tensor minus $\frac{n+1}{2}$ times torsion tensor and also on the value of the first positive eigenvalue of the sub-Laplacian.

Theorem 1.2. *For $n \geq 2$, if (M, J, θ) is a closed pseudo-Hermitian $(2n+1)$ -manifold with*

$$(1.3) \quad (\text{Ric} - \frac{n+1}{2}\text{Tor})(Z, Z) \geq -2K |Z|^2,$$

for all $Z \in T_{1,0}(M)$ and for some nonnegative constant K , then

$$(1.4) \quad \|R - \bar{R}\|_{L^2} \leq \sqrt{\kappa} \left(\int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |\text{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{R}{n} h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2n}{\lambda_1} \|\text{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta})\|_{L^2}$$

where $\kappa = \frac{2n(n+1)}{(n-1)(n+2)}(1 + \frac{2nK}{(n+1)\lambda_1})$ and λ_1 is the first positive eigenvalue of the sub-Laplacian. Moreover, if the equality holds then the contact form θ is pseudo-Einstein, $\text{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$, and the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature $R = \bar{R}$ is a constant.

We observe that when $K = 0$ in Theorem 1.2, we obtain Theorem 1.1. Moreover, equality holds in (1.2), we know that the contact form θ is pseudo-Einstein and $R = \bar{R}$ is a constant. This result is stronger than we gave in Theorem 1.1.

In Section 3, we consider a closed pseudo-Hermitian $(2n+1)$ -manifold M with zero pseudo-Hermitian torsion and we derive a lower bound estimate for the first positive eigenvalue λ_1 of the sub-Laplacian Δ_b by using the diameter of M and lower bound of Webster Ricci tensor (see Proposition 3.1). This estimate is also an independent interesting result. As a consequence, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Under the same conditions as in the Theorem 1.2. We also assume that M is torsion free, then*

$$(1.5) \quad \int_M (R - \bar{R})^2 \leq C(Kd^2) \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \beta} |Ric_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{R}{n} h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2,$$

where d is the diameter of M with respect to the Carnot-Carathéodory distance and $C(Kd^2)$ is a constant only depending on Kd^2 . Moreover, the equality holds if and only if the contact form θ is pseudo-Einstein.

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we take the method used in [C1] to prove the inequality (1.4). But in the equality case, our proof is more different from [C1].

We need the following two integral formulas. The first integral is equation (3.4) in [CSW], for $n \geq 2$ and a smooth real-valued function φ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{n+2}{n-1} \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \beta} |\varphi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} \varphi^\gamma{}_\gamma h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 \\ &= \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_M (\Delta_b \varphi)^2 - \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \varphi_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} - \int_M (Ric - \frac{n+1}{2} Tor) ((\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}, (\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}). \end{aligned}$$

The second integral comes from Lemma 2.2 in [CC] with its last equation in P. 268,

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{n^2}{2} \int_M \varphi_0^2 = \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (\varphi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \varphi_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} - \varphi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \varphi_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}) + \int_M (Ric + \frac{n}{2} Tor) ((\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}, (\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

where $\varphi_0 = T\varphi$ and T is the characteristic vector field of the contact form θ .

Proof of Theorem 1.2:

Proof. We denote the traceless Webster Ricci tensor by $\mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = Ric_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{R}{n}h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$, then the contracted Bianchi identity yields

$$(2.3) \quad \mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \bar{\beta} = \left(Ric_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{R}{n}h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right), \bar{\beta} = \frac{n-1}{n}(R_{\alpha} - inA_{\alpha\beta}, {}^{\beta}).$$

Let f be the unique solution of $\Delta_b f = R - \bar{R}$ with $\int_M f = 0$. According to (2.3), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M (R - \bar{R})^2 \\ &= \int_M (R - \bar{R}) \Delta_b f = - \int_M \langle \nabla_b R, \nabla_b f \rangle = - \int_M (R_{\alpha} f^{\alpha} + R_{\bar{\alpha}} f^{\bar{\alpha}}) \\ &= \left(-\frac{n}{n-1} \int_M \mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \bar{\beta} f^{\alpha} - in \int_M A_{\alpha\beta}, {}^{\beta} f^{\alpha} \right) + \text{complex conjugate} \\ (2.4) \quad &= \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \int_M \mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} f^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + in \int_M A_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} \right) + \text{complex conjugate} \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \int_M \mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} (f^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma} h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) + in \int_M A_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} \right) + \text{complex conjugate} \\ &= \frac{2n}{n-1} \int_M \mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} (f^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma} h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) + in \int_M (A_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} - A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} f^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}) \\ &\leq \frac{2n}{n-1} \|\mathring{Ric}\|_{L^2} \left(\int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |f_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma} h_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + in \int_M (A_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} - A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} f^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}), \end{aligned}$$

here we used $\int_M \mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} f^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma} h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = 0$ and $f^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma} h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = f^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{\bar{\gamma}} h^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}$ is symmetric in α, β .

Now from (2.1) and the assumption on the curvature condition (1.3), we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{n+2}{n-1} \int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |f_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma} h_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}|^2 \leq \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_M (\Delta_b f)^2 + K \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2.$$

Besides, by using integration by parts and Hölder inequality,

$$(2.6) \quad i \int_M (A_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} - A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} f^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}) = i \int_M (A_{\alpha\beta}, {}^{\alpha\beta} - A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}, {}^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}) f \leq 2 \|\text{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta}, {}^{\alpha\beta})\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Since the first positive eigenvalue λ_1 of sub-Laplacian on M is characterized by

$$\lambda_1 = \inf \left\{ \int_M |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 / \int_M \varphi^2 \mid \varphi \text{ is nontrivial and } \int_M \varphi = 0 \right\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2 &= - \int_M f \Delta_b f = - \int_M f (R - \bar{R}) \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|R - \bar{R}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \lambda_1^{-1/2} \|\nabla_b f\|_{L^2} \|R - \bar{R}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.7) \quad \lambda_1 \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2 \leq \|R - \overline{R}\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1^2 \int_M |f|^2 \leq \|R - \overline{R}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Due to (2.7), we can rewrite (2.5) and (2.6) as

$$\frac{n+2}{n-1} \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \beta} |f_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{n} f_{\gamma} h_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}|^2 \leq (\frac{n+1}{2n} + \frac{K}{\lambda_1}) \|R - \overline{R}\|_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$i \int_M (A_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} - A_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} f^{\alpha\overline{\beta}}) \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_1} \|\text{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta})\|_{L^2} \|R - \overline{R}\|_{L^2}$$

which combine with (2.4), we then give the equation (1.4).

Moreover, if the equality of (1.4) holds, then f will satisfy

- (i) $(Ric - \frac{n+1}{2} Tor + 2K) ((\nabla_b f)_{\mathbb{C}}, (\nabla_b f)_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0$,
- (ii) $f_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for all α, β ,
- (iii) $R - \overline{R} = c_1 f$ and $\text{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta}) = c_2 f$ for some real constants c_1 and c_2 ,
- (iv) $f_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} f_{\gamma} h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}^{\gamma} = \mu \mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$ for some constant μ , and
- (v) $\lambda_1 \int_M f^2 = \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2$ and $\lambda_1 \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2 = \int_M (R - \overline{R})^2$.

Simple computation shows that

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta_b f = R - \overline{R} = -\lambda_1 f$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad f_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} f_{\gamma} h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}^{\gamma} = \mu \mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$$

with $\mu = \frac{n+1}{n+2} (1 + \frac{2nK}{(n+1)\lambda_1})$.

In order to show θ is pseudo-Einstein, $R = \overline{R}$ is a constant and $\text{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$, it suffices to claim that f is identically zero. So we need to derive some equations from (i)~(v). First, we claim that

$$(2.10) \quad Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} f^{\alpha} - i(n+2)\mu A_{\alpha\overline{\beta}},^{\overline{\beta}} - i(n+1)A_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} f^{\overline{\beta}} + 2K f_{\overline{\beta}} = 0.$$

We differentiate (ii) and use (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f_{\alpha\beta\bar{\gamma}} = f_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}\beta} + ih_{\beta\bar{\gamma}}f_{\alpha 0} + R_{\alpha}{}^{\rho}{}_{\beta\bar{\gamma}}f_{\rho} \\ &= \frac{1}{n}f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\beta}h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} + \mu\mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\gamma},\beta} + ih_{\beta\bar{\gamma}}f_{\alpha 0} + R_{\alpha}{}^{\rho}{}_{\beta\bar{\gamma}}f_{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Contracting with $h^{\beta\bar{\gamma}}$, we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad 0 = \frac{1}{n}f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + \mu\mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}},{}^{\bar{\beta}} + inf_{\alpha 0} + Ric_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}f^{\bar{\beta}}.$$

By differentiating the equation (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda_1 f_{\alpha} &= f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + f_{\bar{\sigma}}{}^{\bar{\sigma}}{}_{\alpha} = f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + P_{\alpha}f - inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} \\ &= f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + \frac{n}{n-1}(f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n}f_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma}h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}),{}^{\bar{\beta}} - inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} \\ &= f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + \frac{n\mu}{n-1}\mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}},{}^{\bar{\beta}} - inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

here the operator $P_{\alpha}f$ is defined by $P_{\alpha}f = f_{\bar{\sigma}}{}^{\bar{\sigma}}{}_{\alpha} + inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}$ and the second equation follows from equation (3.3) in [GL]. Thus, the contracted Bianchi identity (2.3) and $R_{\alpha} = -\lambda_1 f_{\alpha}$ which follows from (2.8) imply

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} &= -\lambda_1 f_{\alpha} - \frac{n\mu}{n-1}\mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}},{}^{\bar{\beta}} + inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} \\ &= -\lambda_1 f_{\alpha} - \mu(R_{\alpha} - inA_{\alpha\beta},{}^{\beta}) + inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} \\ &= (\mu - 1)\lambda_1 f_{\alpha} + in\mu A_{\alpha\beta},{}^{\beta} + inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by the commutation relations ([Le, Lemma 2.3]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} inf_{\alpha 0} &= inf_{0\alpha} - inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} = (f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma} - f_{\bar{\sigma}}{}^{\bar{\sigma}}),_{\alpha} - inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} \\ &= f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} - P_{\alpha}f = f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} - \frac{n\mu}{n-1}\mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}},{}^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= (2\mu - 1)\lambda_1 f_{\alpha} + 2in\mu A_{\alpha\beta},{}^{\beta} + inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these into (2.11) and using the fact $\mu = \frac{n+1}{n+2}(1 + \frac{2nK}{(n+1)\lambda_1})$, we final get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{n}f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + \mu\mathring{Ric}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}},{}^{\bar{\beta}} + inf_{\alpha 0} + Ric_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}f^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= 2Kf_{\alpha} + i(n+2)\mu A_{\alpha\beta},{}^{\beta} + i(n+1)A_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} + Ric_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}f^{\bar{\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is (2.10) as claimed.

Next, we want to show

$$(2.12) \quad \int_M A_{\alpha\beta} f^\alpha f^\beta = \int_M A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} f^{\bar{\alpha}} f^{\bar{\beta}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M Ric_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} f^\alpha f^{\bar{\beta}} + K \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2 = 0.$$

From (2.10) we know that

$$Ric_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} f^\alpha f^{\bar{\beta}} + i(n+2) \mu A_{\alpha\beta, \beta} f^\alpha + i(n+1) A_{\alpha\beta} f^\alpha f^\beta + 2K f_\alpha f^\alpha = 0.$$

But compare this with (i)

$$(2.13) \quad Ric_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} f^\alpha f^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{n+1}{2} i (A_{\alpha\beta} f^\alpha f^\beta - A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} f^{\bar{\alpha}} f^{\bar{\beta}}) + 2K f_\alpha f^\alpha = 0,$$

one gets

$$\frac{2(n+2)}{n+1} \mu A_{\alpha\beta, \beta} f^\alpha = -(A_{\alpha\beta} f^\alpha f^\beta + A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} f^{\bar{\alpha}} f^{\bar{\beta}}).$$

Then integral it yields

$$\int_M A_{\alpha\beta} f^\alpha f^\beta + \int_M A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} f^{\bar{\alpha}} f^{\bar{\beta}} = 0$$

due to $\int_M A_{\alpha\beta, \beta} f^\alpha = \int_M (A_{\alpha\beta} f^\alpha)_{, \beta} = 0$, by (ii). Also by the reality of $A_{\alpha\beta, \beta} f^\alpha$, we know

$$\int_M A_{\alpha\beta} f^\alpha f^\beta = - \int_M A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} f^{\bar{\alpha}} f^{\bar{\beta}} = \int_M A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}, \bar{\beta}} f^{\bar{\alpha}} f$$

is real. Hence, the integral of (2.13),

$$\int_M Ric_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} f^\alpha f^{\bar{\beta}} + (n+1) i \int_M A_{\alpha\beta} f^\alpha f^\beta + K \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2 = 0$$

will imply (2.12) as we wanted.

Now, by applying (ii) and (2.12) to the equation (2.2), we final obtain

$$\frac{n^2}{2} \int_M f_0^2 + \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \beta} f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} f_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} + K \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2 = 0.$$

It implies that $f = 0$ as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. $\square$

3. FIRST EIGENVALUE ESTIMATE OF THE SUB-LAPLACIAN

Let (M, J, θ) be a closed pseudo-Hermitian $(2n + 1)$ -manifold with vanishing pseudo-Hermitian torsion. In this section, by applying the argument of the CR analogous Li-Yau's gradient estimate in [CKL], we derive a lower bound estimate for the first positive eigenvalue λ_1 of the sub-Laplacian Δ_b using the diameter of M and lower bound of Webster Ricci tensor. In the last, we prove Corollary 1.3.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (M, J, θ) be a closed pseudo-Hermitian $(2n + 1)$ -manifold with vanishing pseudo-Hermitian torsion and the Webster Ricci tensor is bounded from below by a nonpositive constant $-K$. Let f be an eigenfunction of Δ_b with respect to the first eigenvalue λ_1 . Then there exists constants $C_1(n)$, $C_2(n) > 0$ depending on n alone, such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda_1 \geq \frac{C_1}{d^2} \exp(-C_2 d \sqrt{K}).$$

Here d is the diameter of M with respect to the Carnot-Carathéodory distance (see definition 2.3 in [CKL]).

We recall the following CR version of Bochner formula in a pseudo-Hermitian $(2n + 1)$ -manifold ([G]). For a smooth real-valued function φ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta_b |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 &= |(\nabla^H)^2 \varphi|^2 + \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \Delta_b \varphi \rangle + 2 \langle J \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi_0 \rangle \\ &\quad + [2Ric - (n - 2)Tor] ((\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}, (\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|(\nabla^H)^2 \varphi|^2 = 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (|\varphi_{\alpha\beta}|^2 + |\varphi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2) \geq 2 \sum_{\alpha} |\varphi_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2n} (\Delta_b \varphi)^2 + \frac{n}{2} \varphi_0^2$$

and for any constant $v > 0$,

$$2 \langle J \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi_0 \rangle \leq 2 |\nabla_b \varphi| |\nabla_b \varphi_0| \leq v^{-1} |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + v |\nabla_b \varphi_0|^2.$$

Therefore, for a real function φ and any $v > 0$, the Bochner formula (3.2) becomes

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_b |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 &\geq \frac{1}{n} (\Delta_b \varphi)^2 + n \varphi_0^2 + 2 \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \Delta_b \varphi \rangle - 2v |\nabla_b \varphi_0|^2 \\ &\quad + 2[2Ric - (n-2)Tor - 2v^{-1}] ((\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}, (\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1:

Proof. Let f be an eigenfunction of Δ_b with respect to the eigenvalue λ_1 . Since

$$\lambda_1 \int_M f = - \int_M \Delta_b f = 0,$$

f must change sign. We may normalize f to satisfy $\min f = -1$ and $\max f \leq 1$. Let us consider the function $\varphi = \ln(f + a)$, for some constant $a > 1$. Then the function φ satisfies

$$\Delta_b \varphi = -|\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a}$$

and thus

$$(3.4) \quad \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \Delta_b \varphi \rangle = - \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \rangle - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} |\nabla_b \varphi|^2.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_b \varphi_0 &= (\Delta_b \varphi)_0 + 2[(A_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^\beta)^\alpha + (A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \varphi^{\bar{\beta}})^{\bar{\alpha}}] \\ &= \left(-|\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a} \right)_0 = -2 \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi_0 \rangle - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} \varphi_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta_b \varphi_0^2 = |\nabla_b \varphi_0|^2 + \varphi_0 \Delta_b \varphi_0 = |\nabla_b \varphi_0|^2 - \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi_0^2 \rangle - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} \varphi_0^2.$$

And

$$(3.6) \quad \Delta_b \frac{f}{f+a} = \frac{a}{(f+a)^2} \Delta_b f - \frac{2a}{(f+a)^3} |\nabla_b f|^2 = -2 \left\langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \frac{f}{f+a} \right\rangle - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} \frac{f}{f+a}.$$

Now, we define $F : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, t) &= t(|\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - \alpha \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a} + \gamma t \varphi_0^2) \\ &= t[(\alpha + 1) |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \alpha \Delta_b \varphi + \gamma t \varphi_0^2], \end{aligned}$$

where α be a nonzero constant and γ be a positive constant which will be chosen later. By applying the Bochner inequality (3.3) with $v = \gamma t$, and using (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), one can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_b F + 2 \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b F \rangle &\geq \frac{t}{n} (\Delta_b \varphi)^2 + nt\varphi_0^2 - 2(Kt + \gamma^{-1}) |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} t [2 |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - \alpha \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a} + 2\gamma t \varphi_0^2] \\
 (3.7) \qquad &= \frac{t}{n} (\Delta_b \varphi)^2 + nt\varphi_0^2 - 2(Kt + \gamma^{-1}) |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} [F + t |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \gamma t^2 \varphi_0^2].
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the definition of $F(x, t)$, we have

$$\Delta_b \varphi = \alpha^{-1} [t^{-1} F - (\alpha + 1) |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - \gamma t \varphi_0^2],$$

thus

$$(\Delta_b \varphi)^2 \geq (\alpha t)^{-2} F^2 - 2\alpha^{-2} t^{-1} F [(\alpha + 1) |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \gamma t \varphi_0^2].$$

Substituting this into (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Delta_b F + 2 \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b F \rangle \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{n\alpha^2 t} F^2 - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} F + [n - (\frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} t + \frac{2}{n\alpha^2} F) \gamma] t \varphi_0^2 \\
 &\quad - 2[Kt + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{t}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} + \frac{\alpha+1}{n\alpha^2} F] |\nabla_b \varphi|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, at a maximum point p_t of $F(\cdot, t)$, we have

$$0 \geq \Delta_b F(p_t, t) + 2 \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b F \rangle(p_t, t).$$

Hence, at (p_t, t) ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \qquad 0 &\geq \frac{1}{n\alpha^2 t} F^2 - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} F + [n - (\frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} t + \frac{2}{n\alpha^2} F) \gamma] t \varphi_0^2 \\
 &\quad - 2[Kt + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{t}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} + \frac{\alpha+1}{n\alpha^2} F] |\nabla_b \varphi|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

We claim that there exist constants α depending only on n with $(\alpha + 1) < 0$ and γ depending on λ_1 , a and K such that

$$F(x, t) < -\frac{n\alpha^2}{\alpha+1} [K + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1}]$$

on $M \times [0, 1]$. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose not, then

$$\max_{M \times [0, 1]} F(x, t) \geq -\frac{n\alpha^2}{\alpha+1} [K + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1}].$$

Since F is continuous in the variable t and $F(x, 0) = 0$, thus there exists a $t_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\max_{M \times [0, t_0]} F(x, t) = -\frac{n\alpha^2}{\alpha+1} [K + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1}].$$

Assume F achieves its maximum at the point (p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}) on $M \times [0, t_0]$. Then

$$(3.9) \quad F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}) = -\frac{n\alpha^2}{\alpha+1} [K + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1}] > 0.$$

By applying (3.8) at a maximum point p_{t_0} of $F(\cdot, s_{t_0})$ and using (3.9), one obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{1}{n\alpha^2 s_{t_0}} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0})^2 - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}) \\ &\quad + [n - (\frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} s_{t_0} + \frac{2}{n\alpha^2} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}))\gamma] s_{t_0} \varphi_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose

$$\alpha + 1 = -\frac{3}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^{-1} = \frac{n+3}{n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + 2K,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{n\alpha^2 s_{t_0}} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0})^2 - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}) \geq n s_{t_0}^{-1} (K + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1}) F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}) > 0$$

and

$$n - (\frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} s_{t_0} + \frac{2}{n\alpha^2} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}))\gamma \geq \frac{n}{3} [1 - (\frac{n+3}{n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + 2K)\gamma] = 0.$$

This leads to a contradiction with (3.10). Therefore, we obtain that

$$F(x, t) < (n+3)^2 (\frac{n+2}{2n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K)$$

on $M \times [0, 1]$. In particular, at $t = 1$, we have

$$|\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \frac{n+3}{n} \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a} + (\frac{n+3}{n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + 2K)^{-1} \varphi_0^2 \leq (n+3)^2 (\frac{n+2}{2n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K).$$

Thus, we obtain the subgradient estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \left(\frac{n+3}{n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + 2K\right)^{-1} \varphi_0^2 &\leq (n+3)^2 \left(\frac{n+2}{2n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K\right) - \frac{n+3}{n} \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a} \\ &\leq (n+3)^2 \left(\frac{n+3}{2n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \leq (n+3)^2 \left(\frac{n+3}{2n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K\right).$$

By integrating $|\nabla_b \varphi| = |\nabla_b \ln(f+a)|$ along a minimal horizontal geodesic ς joining the points at which $f = -1$ and $f = \max f$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{a}{a-1} &\leq \ln \left(\frac{a+\max f}{a-1}\right) = \ln(a + \max f) - \ln(a-1) \\ &\leq \int_{\varsigma} |\nabla_b \ln(f+a)| \leq (n+3)d \sqrt{\frac{n+3}{2n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a > 1$. Setting $s = (a-1)/a$, we obtain

$$\frac{(n+3)^3}{2n} \lambda_1 \geq (d^{-2} (\ln s^{-1})^2 - (n+3)^2 K) s$$

for all $0 < s < 1$. Maximizing the right hand side as a function of s by setting $s = \exp(-1 - \sqrt{1 + (n+3)^2 K d^2})$, we get the estimate

$$\lambda_1 \geq \frac{4n}{(n+3)^3 d^2} \exp(-1 - \sqrt{1 + (n+3)^2 K d^2})$$

as claimed. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1. □

Now we prove Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollary 1.3: From the Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\frac{K}{\lambda_1} \leq C_1 K d^2 \exp(C_2 d \sqrt{K}).$$

So we obtain the inequality in Corollary 1.3 with the constant

$$C(Kd^2) = \frac{4n^2}{(n-1)(n+2)} \left(\frac{n+1}{2n} + C_1 K d^2 \exp(C_2 d \sqrt{K}) \right).$$

Acknowledgment. The second author would like to express his thanks to Prof. Shu-Cheng Chang for his encouragement and teaching on CR geometry. He is also grateful to Prof. Xu Cheng for her explanation on the paper [C2].

REFERENCES

- [B] E.R. Barbosa, *A note on the almost-Schur lemma on 4-dimensional Riemannian closed manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 4319-4322.
- [CC] S.-C. Chang and H.-L. Chiu, *Nonnegativity of CR Paneitz operator and its Application to the CR Obata's Theorem in a Pseudo-Hermitian $(2n+1)$ -Manifold*, J. Geom. Analysis, 19 (2009), 261-287.
- [CSW] J.-T. Chen, T. Saotome and C.-T. Wu, *The CR Almost Schur lemma and Lee conjecture*, Kyoto J. Math., 52 (2012), no. 1, 89-98.
- [C1] X. Cheng, *A generalization of almost Schur lemma for closed Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., DOI 10.1007/s10455-012-9339-8.
- [C2] X. Cheng, *An almost-Schur lemma for symmetric $(2;0)$ tensors and applications*, arXiv:1208.2152v1 [math.DG].
- [CKL] S.-C. Chang, T.-J. Kuo and S.-H. Lai, *Li-Yau gradient estimate and entropy formula for the CR heat equation in a closed pseudo-Hermitian 3-Manifold*, JDG 89 (2011), no. 2, 185-216.
- [G] A. Greenleaf, *The first eigenvalue of a sub-Laplacian on a pseudo-Hermitian manifold*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. 10(2) (1985), no. 3, 191-217.
- [GL] C. R. Graham and J. M. Lee, *Smooth solutions of degenerate Laplacians on strictly pseudoconvex domains*, Duke Math. J., 57 (1988), 697-720.
- [GW] Y. Ge and G. Wang, *An almost Schur Theorem on 4-dimensional manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 1041-1044.
- [Le] J. M. Lee, *Pseudo-Einstein structures on CR manifolds*, Amer. Jour. Math, 110 (1998), 157-178.
- [LT] De Lellis and C. Topping, *Almost Schur lemma*, Calc. Var. and PDE, 43 (2012) 347-354.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 11677, TAIWAN.

E-mail address: jtchen@ntnu.edu.tw

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAM.

E-mail address: dungmath@yahoo.co.uk

³DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL PINGTUNG UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, PING-TUNG 90003, TAIWAN.

E-mail address: ctwu@mail.npue.edu.tw